

Les complications cardiovasculaires III

La prévention primaire

- Style de vie:
 - IMC
 - Alimentation (régime méditerranéen)
 - Alcool
 - Exercice physique

Prévention primaire

Les mesures de style de vie

- Arrêt du tabagisme et éviter le tabagisme passif
- Correction des habitudes alimentaires : au niveau des lipides, graisses saturées, cholestérol, acides gras polyinsaturés (d'origine végétale et marine), fruits frais et légumes, nombre de calories, sel et alcool : cf régime méditerranéen et huile d'olive
- Activité physique régulière

Indice de masse corporelle



Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies



*Prospective Studies Collaboration**

Lancet 2009; 373: 1083–96




Figure 2: All-cause mortality versus BMI for each sex in the range 15–50 kg/m² (excluding the first 5 years of follow-up)
 Relative risks at ages 35–89 years, adjusted for age at risk, smoking, and study, were multiplied by a common factor (ie, floated) to make the weighted average match the PSC mortality rate at ages 35–79 years. Floated mortality rates shown above each square and numbers of deaths below. Area of square is inversely proportional to the variance of the log risk. Boundaries of BMI groups are indicated by tick marks. 95% CIs for floated rates reflect uncertainty in the log risk for each single rate. Dotted vertical line indicates 25 kg/m² (boundary between upper and lower BMI ranges in this report).




Figure 7: BMI versus lifespan in western Europe, year 2000

Separate and combined associations of body-mass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies

The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration*




Figure 6: Changes in C-index for cardiovascular disease risk prediction from omission of individual risk factors from a full model containing Framingham risk score covariates plus BMI, waist circumference, or waist-to-hip ratio

Analyses were based on 144 795 participants (8347 cardiovascular events) in 39 studies. Analyses were restricted to participants with BMI of 20 kg/m² or higher. BMI=body-mass index. *Framingham risk score covariates include age, smoking status, systolic blood pressure, history of diabetes, and total and HDL cholesterol, and model was stratified by sex. †Reference C-index of 0.7324 (95% CI 0.7272 to 0.7375). ‡Reference C-index of 0.7324 (95% CI 0.7273 to 0.7376). §Reference C-index of 0.7333 (95% CI 0.7281 to 0.7384).

Régime méditerranéen

- Consommation de céréales accrue : pain, pâtes, riz, semoule...
- Consommation accrue de pommes de terre, fruits et légumes dont légumineuses (haricots, fèves...)
- Consommation accrue de noix, noisettes, amandes
Huile d'olive : principale source de graisses
- Consommation modérée de poissons et volailles et de yaourts et fromages
- Réduction de la consommation en viande rouge
- Vin en quantité modérée aux repas

Mediterranean alpha-linolenic acid-rich diet in secondary prevention of coronary heart disease

Michel de Lorgeril, Serge Renaud, Nicole Mamelie, Patricia Salen, Jean-Louis Martin, Isabelle Monjaud, Jeannine Guidollet, Paul Touboul, Jacques Delaye

Lancet 1994; **343**: 1454–59

Mediterranean Diet, Traditional Risk Factors, and the Rate of Cardiovascular Complications After Myocardial Infarction

Final Report of the Lyon Diet Heart Study

Michel de Lorgeril, MD; Patricia Salen, BSc; Jean-Louis Martin, PhD; Isabelle Monjaud, BSc; Jacques Delaye, MD; Nicole Mamelie, PhD

(Circulation. 1999;99:779-785.)

régime dit méditerranéen

- augmentation de la consommation de pain, de légumes verts, de légumes à base de racines, de fruits et de poissons
- diminution de la consommation de viande et remplacement du bœuf, de l'agneau et du porc par des volailles
- utilisation de l'huile d'olive ou de colza pour les salades et la cuisine
- remplacement du beurre et de la crème de lait par une margarine à base de colza
- quantité modérée de vin autorisée (mais non conseillée) au cours des repas




Figure 1. Cumulative survival without nonfatal myocardial infarction (CO 1) among experimental (Mediterranean group) patients and control subjects.


TABLE 1. End Points in the 2 Groups and Risk Ratios for the 3 Composite Outcomes Calculated With the Cox Proportional-Hazards Model

	Control		Experimental		Risk Ratio† (95% CI)	P
	Number	Rate*	Number	Rate		
Major primary end points						
Cardiac deaths	19	1.37	6	0.41	0.35 (0.15–0.83)	0.01
Nonfatal AMI	25	2.70	8	0.83		
Total primary end points (composite outcome 1)	44	4.07	14	1.24	0.28 (0.15–0.53)	0.0001
Noncardiac deaths	5	0.36	8	0.54	0.44 (0.21–0.94)	0.03
All-cause deaths	24	1.74	14	0.95		
Major secondary end points						
Periprocedural infarction	2		0		0.33 (0.21–0.52)	0.0001
Unstable angina	24		6			
Heart failure	11		6			
Stroke	4		0			
Pulmonary embolism	3		0			
Peripheral embolism	2		1			
Total secondary end points	46	4.96	13	1.35		
Total primary+secondary end points (composite outcome 2)	90	9.03	27	2.59		
Minor secondary end points						
Stable angina	29		21		0.53 (0.38–0.74)	0.0002
Elective myocardial revascularization	45		37			
Post-PTCA restenosis	15		9			
Thrombophlebitis	1		2			
Total minor end points	90	9.71	68	7.04		
Total major and minor end points (composite outcome 3)	180	18.74	95	9.63		

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction.

The Mediterranean Diet and Mortality — Olive Oil and Beyond

Frank B. Hu, M.D., Ph.D.



En prévention primaire

THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet

Ramón Estruch, M.D., Ph.D., Emilio Ros, M.D., Ph.D., Jordi Salas-Salvadó, M.D., Ph.D., Maria-Isabel Covas, D.Pharm., Ph.D., Dolores Corella, D.Pharm., Ph.D., Fernando Arós, M.D., Ph.D., Enrique Gómez-Gracia, M.D., Ph.D., Valentina Ruiz-Gutiérrez, Ph.D., Miquel Fiol, M.D., Ph.D., José Lapetra, M.D., Ph.D., Rosa Maria Lamuela-Raventós, D.Pharm., Ph.D., Lluís Serra-Majem, M.D., Ph.D., Xavier Pintó, M.D., Ph.D., Josep Basora, M.D., Ph.D., Miguel Angel Muñoz, M.D., Ph.D., José V. Sorlí, M.D., Ph.D., José Alfredo Martínez, D.Pharm., M.D., Ph.D., and Miguel Angel Martínez-González, M.D., Ph.D., for the PREDIMED Study Investigators*

CORRESPONDENCE



Retraction and Republication: Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279-90.

TO THE EDITOR: Because of irregularities in the randomization procedures, we wish to retract the following article: Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279-90. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1200303.¹ We have reanalyzed the data and have published a new report: Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet Supplemented with Extra-Virgin Olive Oil or Nuts. N Engl J Med. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389.²

Ramón Estruch, M.D., Ph.D.
CIBEROBN
Madrid, Spain

Miquel Fiol, M.D., Ph.D.

Institute of Health Sciences
Palma de Mallorca, Spain

José Lapetra, M.D., Ph.D.

Distrito Sanitario Atención Primaria
Seville, Spain

Rosa M. Lamuela-Raventos, D.Pharm., Ph.D.

University of Barcelona
Barcelona, Spain

Lluís Serra-Majem, M.D., Ph.D.

Research Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences
Las Palmas, Spain

Xavier Pintó, M.D., Ph.D.

Bellvitge University Hospital

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet Supplemented with Extra-Virgin Olive Oil or Nuts

R. Estruch, E. Ros, J. Salas-Salvadó, M.-I. Covas, D. Corella, F. Arós,
E. Gómez-Gracia, V. Ruiz-Gutiérrez, M. Fiol, J. Lapetra, R.M. Lamuela-Raventos,
L. Serra-Majem, X. Pintó, J. Basora, M.A. Muñoz, J.V. Sorlí, J.A. Martínez, M. Fitó,
A. Gea, M.A. Hernán, and M.A. Martínez-González,
for the PREDIMED Study Investigators*

N Engl J Med 2018;378:e34.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389

Table 1. Summary of Dietary Recommendations to Participants in the Mediterranean-Diet Groups and the Control-Diet Group.

Food	Goal
Mediterranean diet	
Recommended	
Olive oil*	≥4 tbsp/day
Tree nuts and peanuts†	≥3 servings/wk
Fresh fruits	≥3 servings/day
Vegetables	≥2 servings/day
Fish (especially fatty fish), seafood	≥3 servings/wk
Legumes	≥3 servings/wk
Sofrito‡	≥2 servings/wk
White meat	Instead of red meat
Wine with meals (optionally, only for habitual drinkers)	≥7 glasses/wk
Discouraged	
Soda drinks	<1 drink/day
Commercial bakery goods, sweets, and pastries§	<2 servings/wk
Spread fats	<1 serving/day
Red and processed meats	<1 serving/day
Low-fat diet (control)¶	
Recommended	
Low-fat dairy products	≥3 servings/day
Bread, potatoes, pasta, rice	≥3 servings/day
Fresh fruits	≥3 servings/day
Vegetables	≥2 servings/day
Lean fish and seafood	≥3 servings/wk
Discouraged	
Vegetable oils (including olive oil)	≤2 tbsp/day
Commercial bakery goods, sweets, and pastries§	≤1 serving/wk
Nuts and fried snacks	≤1 serving/wk
Red and processed fatty meats	≤1 serving/wk
Visible fat in meats and soups	Always remove
Fatty fish, seafood canned in oil	≤1 serving/wk
Spread fats	≤1 serving/wk
Sofrito‡	≤2 servings/wk

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the Participants, According to Intervention Group.*			
Characteristic	Mediterranean Diet with EVOO (N=2543)	Mediterranean Diet with Nuts (N=2454)	Control Diet (N=2450)
Female sex — no. (%)†	1493 (58.7)	1326 (54.0)	1463 (59.7)
Age — yr†	67.0±6.2	66.7±6.1	67.3±6.3
Race or ethnic group — no. (%)‡			
White, from Europe	2470 (97.1)	2390 (97.4)	2375 (96.9)
Hispanic, from Central or South America	35 (1.4)	29 (1.2)	38 (1.6)
Other	38 (1.5)	35 (1.4)	37 (1.5)
Smoking status — no. (%)			
Never smoked	1572 (61.8)	1465 (59.7)	1527 (62.3)
Former smoker	618 (24.3)	634 (25.8)	584 (23.8)
Current smoker	353 (13.9)	355 (14.5)	339 (13.8)
Body-mass index†§	29.9±3.7	29.7±3.8	30.2±4.0
Waist circumference — cm	100±10	100±10	101±11
Waist-to-height ratio†¶	0.63±0.06	0.63±0.06	0.63±0.07
Hypertension — no. (%)	2088 (82.1)	2024 (82.5)	2050 (83.7)
Type 2 diabetes — no. (%)†**	1282 (50.4)	1143 (46.6)	1189 (48.5)
Dyslipidemia — no. (%)††	1821 (71.6)	1799 (73.3)	1763 (72.0)
Family history of premature CHD — no. (%)‡‡	576 (22.7)	532 (21.7)	560 (22.9)
Medication use — no. (%)			
ACE inhibitors	1236 (48.6)	1223 (49.8)	1216 (49.6)
Diuretics†	534 (21.0)	477 (19.4)	562 (22.9)
Other antihypertensive agents	725 (28.5)	710 (28.9)	758 (30.9)
Statins	1039 (40.9)	964 (39.3)	983 (40.1)
Other lipid-lowering agents	121 (4.8)	145 (5.9)	126 (5.1)
Insulin	124 (4.9)	126 (5.1)	134 (5.5)
Oral hypoglycemic agents†	768 (30.2)	680 (27.7)	757 (30.9)
Antiplatelet therapy	475 (18.7)	490 (20.0)	513 (20.9)
Hormone-replacement therapy§§	42 (2.8)	35 (2.6)	39 (2.7)

End Point	Mediterranean Diet with EVOO (N = 2543)	Mediterranean Diet with Nuts (N = 2454)	Control Diet (N = 2450)
No. of person-yr of follow-up	11852	10365	9763
Primary end point†			
No. of events	96	83	109
Incidence rate per 1000 person-yr (95% CI)	8.1 (6.6–9.9)	8.0 (6.4–9.9)	11.2 (9.2–13.5)
5-yr absolute risk — % (95% CI)‡	3.6 (2.8–4.5)	4.0 (3.1–5.0)	5.7 (4.6–6.9)
Secondary end points			
Stroke			
No. of events	49	32	58
Incidence rate per 1000 person-yr (95% CI)	4.1 (3.1–5.5)	3.1 (2.1–4.4)	5.9 (4.5–7.7)
5-yr absolute risk — % (95% CI)	1.7 (1.3–2.4)	1.5 (1.1–2.3)	3.0 (2.3–3.9)
Myocardial infarction			
No. of events	37	31	38
Incidence rate per 1000 person-yr (95% CI)	3.1 (2.2–4.3)	3.0 (2.0–4.2)	3.9 (2.8–5.3)
5-yr absolute risk — % (95% CI)	1.4 (1.0–2.1)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)	2.1 (1.5–2.9)
Death from cardiovascular causes			
No. of events	26	31	30
Incidence rate per 1000 person-yr (95% CI)	2.2 (1.4–3.2)	3.0 (2.0–4.2)	3.1 (2.1–4.4)
5-yr absolute risk — % (95% CI)	1.0 (0.6–1.5)	1.4 (0.9–2.1)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)
Death from any cause			
No. of events	118	116	114
Incidence rate per 1000 person-yr (95% CI)	10.0 (8.2–11.9)	11.2 (9.3–13.4)	11.7 (9.6–14.0)
5-yr absolute risk — % (95% CI)	4.4 (3.6–5.4)	5.4 (4.4–6.6)	5.4 (4.4–6.7)

ITT analysis: hazard ratio for each Mediterranean diet vs. control (95% CI)[§]

Primary end point			
Unadjusted	0.70 (0.53–0.92)	0.70 (0.53–0.94)	1.00 (ref)
Adjusted [¶]	0.69 (0.53–0.91)	0.72 (0.54–0.95)	1.00 (ref)
Secondary end points [¶]			
Stroke	0.65 (0.44–0.95)	0.54 (0.35–0.82)	1.00 (ref)
Myocardial infarction	0.82 (0.52–1.30)	0.76 (0.47–1.25)	1.00 (ref)
Death from cardiovascular causes	0.62 (0.36–1.06)	1.02 (0.63–1.67)	1.00 (ref)
Death from any cause	0.90 (0.69–1.18)	1.12 (0.86–1.47)	1.00 (ref)


ITT analysis: hazard ratio for Mediterranean diets combined vs. control (95% CI)[§]

Primary end point		
Unadjusted	0.70 (0.55–0.89)	1.00 (ref)
Adjusted [¶]	0.70 (0.55–0.89)	1.00 (ref)
Secondary end points [¶]		
Stroke	0.58 (0.42–0.82)	1.00 (ref)
Myocardial infarction	0.80 (0.53–1.21)	1.00 (ref)
Death from cardiovascular causes	0.80 (0.51–1.24)	1.00 (ref)
Death from any cause	0.98 (0.77–1.24)	1.00 (ref)

A Primary End Point (acute myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from cardiovascular causes)

Med diet, EVOO: hazard ratio, 0.69 (95% CI, 0.53–0.91)

Med diet, nuts: hazard ratio, 0.72 (95% CI, 0.54–0.95)



No. at Risk

Control diet	2450	2268	2020	1583	1268	946
Med diet, EVOO	2543	2486	2320	1987	1687	1310
Med diet, nuts	2454	2343	2093	1657	1389	1031


N Engl J Med 2018;378:e34.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389

B Total Mortality

Med diet, EVOO: hazard ratio, 0.90 (95% CI, 0.69–1.18)

Med diet, nuts: hazard ratio, 1.12 (95% CI, 0.86–1.47)



No. at Risk

Control diet	2450	2270	2027	1586	1272	949
Med diet, EVOO	2543	2486	2324	1991	1691	1310
Med diet, nuts	2454	2345	2097	1662	1395	1037

N Engl J Med 2018;378:e34.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389

reduced-fat diet. Our findings support a beneficial effect of the Mediterranean diet for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spanish Ministry of Health, through grants provided to research networks specifically developed for the study (RTIC G03/140, to Dr. Estruch, and RTIC RD 06/0045, to Dr. Martínez-González); Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición; and grants from Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC 06/2007), Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria–Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (PI04-2239, PI 05/2584, CP06/00100, PI07/0240, PI07/1138, PI07/0954, PI 07/0473, PI10/01407, PI10/02658, PI11/01647, and P11/02505), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (AGL-2009-13906-C02 and AGL2010-22319-C03), Fundación

Mapfre 2010, Consejería de Salud de la Junta de Andalucía (PI0105/2007), Public Health Division of the Department of Health of the Autonomous Government of Catalonia, Generalitat Valenciana (ACOMP06109, GVACOMP2010-181, GVACOMP2011-151, CS2010-AP-111, and CS2011-AP-042), and Regional Government of Navarra (P27/2011). Dr. Hernán was supported by a grant (ME-1503-28119) from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

We thank the participants for their involvement in the study; and Joan Vila from Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques, Barcelona, and Estefanía Toledo from the University of Navarra, Pamplona, for their assistance with statistical analyses.

**N Engl J Med 2018;378:e34.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389**

En prévention secondaire



CrossMark

Long-term secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet and a low-fat diet (CORDIOPREV): a randomised controlled trial

Javier Delgado-Lista, Juan F Alcala-Diaz*, Jose D Torres-Peña, Gracia M Quintana-Navarro, Francisco Fuentes, Antonio Garcia-Rios, Ana M Ortiz-Morales, Ana I Gonzalez-Requero, Ana I Perez-Caballero, Elena M Yubero-Serrano, Oriol A Rangel-Zuñiga, Antonio Camargo, Fernando Rodriguez-Cantalejo, Fernando Lopez-Segura, Lina Badimon, Jose M Ordovas, Francisco Perez-Jimenez, Pablo Perez-Martinez†, Jose Lopez-Miranda†, for the CORDIOPREV Investigators‡*

Summary

Lancet 2022; 399: 1876–85


Published Online
May 4, 2022

Background Mediterranean and low-fat diets are effective in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. We did a long-term randomised trial to compare the effects of these two diets in secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Funding Fundacion Patrimonio Comunal Olivarero; Fundacion Centro para la Excelencia en Investigacion sobre Aceite de Oliva y Salud; local, regional, and national Spanish Governments; European Union.

	Mediterranean diet group	Low-fat diet group
Oil (including the oil used for cooking, dressing, and meals consumed outside the home)	Four or more tablespoons of extra virgin olive oil per day (40–60 g per day)	Less than two tablespoons of vegetable oils (eg, sunflower oil or regular olive oil) per day (20–30 g per day)
Fruit	Three or more servings of fresh fruit and natural fruit juices per day	Three or more servings of fresh, frozen, canned, or dried fruits per day
Vegetables	Two or more servings per day (at least one serving raw or as a salad)	Two or more servings per day (fresh, frozen, or canned, without added fat, sauce, or salt)
Grains and potatoes	Six servings of preferably whole grains per day	Six to 11 servings of grains (preferably whole grains), potatoes, and legumes per day
Legumes	Three or more servings per week	Six to 11 servings of grains (preferably whole grains), potatoes, and legumes per day
Dairy	Two servings per day	Two to three servings of low-fat or fat-free dairy products per day
Tree nuts	Three or more servings of raw, non-roasted, or fried nuts per week	Occasional consumption (one serving or less) of raw, non-roasted, or fried nuts per week
Fish and seafood	Three or more servings of especially fatty fish per week	Choose lean fish; limit fatty fish and seafood canned in oil to one serving or fewer per week
White meat	Consume white meat (eg, chicken, turkey, or rabbit) instead of red meat; remove skin and visible fat	Choose skinless poultry and lean cuts (eg, loin or round)
Red or processed meats	Less than one serving per week	One serving or fewer per week
Eggs	Two to four units per week	Two or fewer egg yolks per week
Commercial bakery products, sweets, and pastries	One serving or fewer per week	One serving or fewer per week
Butter and margarine	Not allowed	One serving or fewer per week
Wine	Optional consumption, only in case of a habitual wine drinker (one glass per day for women and two glasses per day for men)	Not allowed
Sweet or carbonated beverages	Less than one drink per day	Less than one drink per day
Culinary techniques	Use of sofrito (a homemade sauce with garlic, onion, aromatic herbs, and tomato slow cooked in olive oil) two or more times a week	Use low-fat cooking methods (eg, broiling, grilling, roasting, baking, microwaving, and poaching); avoid frying and use of sofrito; remove the visible fat before cooking

Table 1: Summary of dietary recommendations to the patients in the two intervention groups of the CORDIOPREV study



patients included men and women aged 20–75 years who had established coronary heart disease and were free of clinical events related to coronary heart disease in the previous 6 months

Figure 1: Trial profile




Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier estimates of the incidence of the composite primary endpoints of myocardial infarction, revascularisation, ischaemic stroke, documented peripheral artery disease, and cardiovascular death events (A) Total study population of the CORDIOPREV study. (B) Male population (827 [82.5%] of 1002) of the CORDIOPREV study. Hazard ratios and confidence intervals are from the multivariable-adjusted Cox model (adjusted for diet, age, family history of coronary disease, and smoking).

	Mediterranean diet (n=502)	Low-fat diet (n=500)	p value
Unadjusted	0.745 (0.563–0.986)	1 (ref)	0.040
Multivariable adjusted for age and sex	0.738 (0.558–0.978)	1 (ref)	0.034
Multivariable adjusted for age, sex, family history of early coronary heart disease, and smoking	0.734 (0.555–0.974)	1 (ref)	0.032
Multivariable adjusted for age, sex, family history of early cardiovascular disease, smoking, BMI, LDL cholesterol, diabetes, and hypertension	0.753 (0.568–0.998)	1 (ref)	0.049
Multivariable adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, LDL cholesterol (<100 mg/dL), BMI, smoking, statins (intensity), and diabetes	0.747 (0.564–0.990)	1 (ref)	0.042
Multivariable adjusted for age, sex, family history of early coronary heart disease, smoking, and pharmacological treatments* at baseline	0.748 (0.562–0.997)	1 (ref)	0.048
Multivariable adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, LDL cholesterol (<100 mg/dL), BMI, smoking, statins (intensity), diabetes, and changes in weight and physical activity during follow-up	0.740 (0.558–0.982)	1 (ref)	0.035
Multivariable adjusted for all covariates used in the different models and randomisation order	0.719 (0.541–0.957)	1 (ref)	0.024

Data are hazard ratio (95% CI) or p value. All p values were calculated with Cox proportional-hazards models. The primary endpoint was a composite of myocardial infarction, revascularisation, ischaemic stroke, documented peripheral artery disease, and cardiovascular death events. BMI=body-mass index. *Statins, other lipid-lowering drugs, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, β -blockers, calcium antagonists, diuretics, insulin, oral antidiabetics, antiplatelets, and anticoagulants.

Table 3: Hazard ratios for the main outcome of the Mediterranean diet and low-fat diet groups

Consommation d'alcool

1 verre standard = 10 g d'alcool

- 7 cl d'apéritif à 18°
- 2,5 cl de digestif à 45°
- 10 cl de champagne à 12°
- 25 cl de cidre "sec" à 5°
- 2,5 cl de whisky à 45°
- 25 cl de bière à 5°
- 2,5 cl de pastis à 45°
- 10 cl de vin rouge ou blanc à 12°

25 cl de bière forte correspond à 2 verres standard et une bouteille de vin à 8 verres standard

Association of alcohol consumption with selected cardiovascular disease outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Paul E Ronksley, doctoral student,¹ Susan E Brien, post-doctoral fellow,¹ Barbara J Turner, professor of medicine and director,² Kenneth J Mukamal, associate professor of medicine,³ William A Ghali, scientific director and professor^{1,4}

Table 2 | Stratified analyses of pooled relative risks (95% CI) for cardiovascular and stroke outcomes (number of pooled studies in parentheses after each effect estimate)

	Cardiovascular disease mortality (n=21 studies, 1 184 956 subjects)	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
		Incident (n=29 studies, 549 504 subjects)	Mortality (n=31 studies, 1 925 106 subjects)	Incident (n=17 studies, 458 811 subjects)	Mortality (n=10 studies, 723 571 subjects)
Active drinkers v non-drinkers:					
Least adjusted models	0.84 (0.75 to 0.95) (11)	0.73 (0.65 to 0.82) (14)	0.80 (0.70 to 0.91) (10)	1.01 (0.88 to 1.16) (10)	1.13 (0.96 to 1.32) (3)
Most adjusted models	0.75 (0.70 to 0.80) (21)	0.71 (0.66 to 0.77) (29)	0.75 (0.68 to 0.81) (31)	0.98 (0.91 to 1.06) (17)	1.06 (0.91 to 1.23) (10)
Active drinkers v lifetime abstainers	0.82 (0.78 to 0.86) (9)	0.73 (0.61 to 0.88) (9)	0.75 (0.66 to 0.85) (7)	0.93 (0.85 to 1.02) (7)	1.29 (1.09 to 1.53) (3)
Former drinkers v non-drinkers	1.48 (1.23 to 1.79) (6)	1.10 (0.91 to 1.33) (8)	1.31 (1.02 to 1.68) (6)	0.87 (0.72 to 1.07) (4)	Not reported (2)
Alcohol intake (g/day) v none:					
<2.5	0.71 (0.57 to 0.89) (7)	0.96 (0.86 to 1.06) (6)	0.92 (0.80 to 1.06) (6)	0.81 (0.74 to 0.89) (3)	1.00 (0.75 to 1.34) (3)
2.5–14.9	0.77 (0.71 to 0.83) (15)	0.75 (0.65 to 0.88) (9)	0.79 (0.73 to 0.86) (18)	0.80 (0.74 to 0.87) (3)	0.86 (0.75 to 0.99) (6)
15–29.9	0.75 (0.70 to 0.80) (13)	0.66 (0.59 to 0.75) (15)	0.79 (0.71 to 0.88) (15)	0.92 (0.82 to 1.04) (5)	1.15 (0.86 to 1.54) (6)
30–60	0.85 (0.73 to 0.98) (10)	0.67 (0.56 to 0.79) (9)	0.77 (0.72 to 0.83) (12)	1.15 (0.98 to 1.35) (4)	1.10 (0.85 to 1.45) (5)
>60	0.99 (0.84 to 1.17) (6)	0.76 (0.52 to 1.09) (9)	0.75 (0.63 to 0.89) (9)	1.62 (1.32 to 1.98) (4)	1.44 (0.99 to 2.10) (3)
Sex:					
Men	0.80 (0.73 to 0.87) (13)	0.71 (0.66 to 0.77) (25)	0.77 (0.72 to 0.82) (21)	1.02 (0.92 to 1.13) (11)	1.07 (0.89 to 1.28) (9)
Women	0.69 (0.60 to 0.78) (9)	0.71 (0.66 to 0.77) (11)	0.78 (0.64 to 0.94) (10)	0.87 (0.75 to 1.01) (4)	0.81 (0.67 to 0.98) (3)
Adjustment for confounding factors*:					
Weak	0.74 (0.67 to 0.82) (10)	0.69 (0.62 to 0.76) (11)	0.72 (0.63 to 0.83) (15)	0.99 (0.86 to 1.13) (7)	1.30 (1.11 to 1.52) (5)
Strong	0.76 (0.70 to 0.83) (11)	0.72 (0.65 to 0.79) (18)	0.80 (0.75 to 0.86) (16)	0.99 (0.89 to 1.09) (10)	0.96 (0.81 to 1.14) (5)
Median follow-up time†:					
Short	0.76 (0.71 to 0.83) (8)	0.71 (0.65 to 0.79) (14)	0.75 (0.67 to 0.85) (12)	0.98 (0.90 to 1.07) (9)	1.01 (0.82 to 1.24) (5)
Long	0.75 (0.67 to 0.84) (13)	0.72 (0.64 to 0.80) (15)	0.75 (0.67 to 0.84) (19)	1.00 (0.88 to 1.13) (8)	1.18 (1.02 to 1.37) (5)

*Adjustment for confounding factors was dichotomised as weak (<median value) or strong (≥median value). Cut points: ≥5 for coronary heart disease and stroke mortality, ≥6 for cardiovascular disease mortality and incident coronary heart disease, ≥7 for incident stroke.

†Total follow-up time was dichotomised as short (<median value) or long (≥median value). Cut points: ≥9 for incident coronary heart disease, ≥10 for cardiovascular disease mortality, ≥12 for coronary heart disease mortality and incident stroke, ≥14 for stroke mortality.

Alcohol Drinking and Cardiovascular Risk in a Population With High Mean Alcohol Consumption

Maryline Foerster, MD^a, Pedro Marques-Vidal, MD, PhD^{a,b}, Gerhard Gmel, PhD^{d,e}, Jean-Bernard Daeppen, MD^d, Jacques Cornuz, MD, MPH^c, Daniel Hayoz, MD^f, Alain Pécoud, MD^c, Vincent Mooser, MD^h, Gérard Waeber, MD^g, Peter Vollenweider, MD^g, Fred Paccaud, MD^a, and Nicolas Rodondi, MD, MAS^{c,*}




Figure 1. Association between alcohol consumption, cardiovascular risk factors, and 10-year CAD risk. HDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure (BP), and 10-year CAD risk according to last week alcohol consumption. Values are adjusted for age, gender, education, units of weekly physical activity, tobacco use, body mass index, statins (for HDL cholesterol), and antihypertensive medications (for systolic BP). Alcohol consumption was associated with increased HDL cholesterol (p for trend <0.001), systolic BP (p for trend <0.001), and 10-year CAD risk (p for trend = 0.03).

Suisse

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Association of longitudinal alcohol consumption trajectories with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of six cohort studies using individual participant data

Dara O'Neill^{1*} , Annie Britton², Mary K. Hannah³, Marcel Goldberg⁴, Diana Kuh^{2,5}, Kay Tee Khaw⁶ and Steven Bell⁷




Fig. 1 Cohort description and participant selection flowchart. CHD coronary heart disease, EPIC-N European Prospective Investigation of Cancer, Norfolk, GAZEL Gaz et Electricité, T07-1930s West of Scotland Twenty-07 Study 1930s, T07-1950s West of Scotland Twenty-07 Study 1950s, WII Whitehall II

Table 1 Drinker type definitions with observed counts and percentages (within sex and overall)

Drinker type	Weekly alcohol intake	N (%)		
		Male	Female	Total
Consistent non-drinker	0 g at each wave of data collection	807 (4.6)	1335 (12.4)	2142 (7.5)
Former drinker	0 g at last wave but intake >0 g at any earlier wave	1831 (10.4)	2249 (21.0)	4080 (14.4)
Consistently moderate	Male: 1–168 g at each wave	7249 (41.0)	4161 (38.8)	11,410 (40.2)
	Female: 1–112 g at each wave			
Inconsistently moderate	Male: 1–168 g for most but not all waves	3599 (20.4)	2037 (19.0)	5636 (19.8)
	Female: 1–112 g for most but not all waves			
Consistently heavy	Male: >168 g at each wave	2216 (12.5)	349 (3.3)	2565 (9.0)
	Female: >112 g at each wave			
Inconsistently heavy	Male: >168 g for most but not all waves	1979 (11.2)	598 (5.6)	2577 (9.1)
	Female: >112 g for most but not all waves			




Fig. 2 Association of drinker type (single intake measurement) with incident (fatal or non-fatal) CHD using maximal adjustment for confounding. Adjustment variables comprised age, sex (reference category: male), socioeconomic position (reference category: intermediate), smoker status (reference category: non-smoker) and intake assessment interval. CHD coronary heart disease, CI confidence interval, HR hazard ratio




Fig. 3 Association of drinker type (longitudinal intake measurement) with incident (fatal or non-fatal) CHD using maximal adjustment for confounding. Adjustment variables comprised age, sex (reference category: male), socioeconomic position (reference category: intermediate), smoker status (reference category: non-smoker) and intake assessment interval. CHD coronary heart disease, CI confidence interval, HR hazard ratio




Fig. 5 Sex-stratified association of drinker type (longitudinal intake measurement) with incident (fatal or non-fatal) CHD using maximal adjustment for confounding. Adjustment variables comprised age, socioeconomic position (reference category: intermediate), smoker status (reference category: non-smoker) and intake assessment interval. CHD coronary heart disease, CI confidence interval, HR hazard ratio

Conclusions

- À l'aide de données sur l'alcool enregistrées de manière prospective, cette étude a montré comment l'instabilité des comportements de consommation au fil du temps est associée au risque de coronaropathie.
- Outre les personnes qui s'abstiennent de boire (à long terme ou plus récemment), les personnes dont la consommation d'alcool n'est pas modérée de façon consistante ont un risque plus élevé de contracter une coronaropathie.
- Cette découverte suggère que les politiques et les interventions encourageant spécifiquement la cohérence dans le respect des directives de consommation à faible risque pourraient avoir des effets bénéfiques sur la santé publique en réduisant le fardeau de la coronaropathie pour la population.
- L'absence d'effet chez les grands buveurs doit être interprétée avec prudence, compte tenu des risques plus généraux connus pour la santé liés à une telle consommation.

Alcohol intake in relation to non-fatal and fatal coronary heart disease and stroke: EPIC-CVD case-cohort study

Cristian Ricci,^{1,2} Angela Wood,³ David Muller,⁴ Marc J Gunter,⁵ Antonio Agudo,⁶ Heiner Boeing,⁷ Yvonne T van der Schouw,⁸ Samantha Warnakula,³ Calogero Saieva,⁹ Annemieke Spijkerman,¹⁰ Ivonne Sluijs,⁸ Anne Tjønneland,¹¹ Cecilie Kyrø,¹¹ Elisabete Weiderpass,^{12,15} Tilman Kühn,¹⁶ Rudolf Kaaks,¹⁶ Maria-Jose Sánchez,^{17,18} Salvatore Panico,¹⁹ Claudia Agnoli,²⁰ Domenico Palli,⁹ Rosario Tumino,²¹ Gunnar Engström,²² Olle Melander,²² Fabrice Bonnet,²³ Jolanda M A Boer,¹⁰ Timothy J Key,²⁴ Ruth C Travis,²⁴ Kim Overvad,^{25,26} W M Monique Verschuren,^{8,10} J Ramón Quirós,²⁷ Antonia Trichopoulou,^{28,29} Eleni-Maria Papatesta,²⁹ Eleni Peppas,²⁸ Conchi Moreno Iribas,^{30,31} Diana Gavrila,^{18,32} Ann-Sofie Forslund,³³ Jan-Håkan Jansson,³⁴ Giuseppe Matullo,³⁵ Larraitz Arriola,³⁶ Heinz Freisling,¹ Camille Lassale,^{4,37} Ioanna Tzoulaki,^{4,38} Stephen J Sharp,³⁹ Nita G Forouhi,³⁹ Claudia Langenberg,³⁹ Rodolfo Saracci,¹ Michael Sweeting,³ Paul Brennan,⁴⁰ Adam S Butterworth,³ Elio Riboli,⁴ Nick J Wareham,³⁹ John Danesh,³ Pietro Ferrari¹

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to: P Ferrari
ferrari@iarc.fr

Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2018;361:k934
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k934>

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE

To investigate the association between alcohol consumption (at baseline and over lifetime) and non-fatal and fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

DESIGN

Multicentre case-cohort study.

RESULTS

There were 9307 non-fatal CHD events, 1699 fatal CHD, 5855 non-fatal stroke, and 733 fatal stroke. Baseline alcohol intake was inversely associated with non-fatal CHD, with a hazard ratio of 0.94 (95% confidence interval 0.92 to 0.96) per 12 g/day higher intake. There was a J shaped association between baseline alcohol intake and risk of fatal CHD. The

Table 1 | Sex and country specific numbers of cardiovascular events (n=17 594) and descriptive statistics about baseline and lifetime alcohol status and consumption (g/day) among the subcohort (n=16 244)

		CHD		Stroke		Baseline alcohol		Lifetime alcohol		Types of alcohol		
Country	Total events	Non-fatal	Fatal	Non-fatal	Fatal	Non-drinkers (%)	Drinkers*	Never drinkers (%)	Drinkers*	Wine	Beer	Spirits†
Men												
Italy	622	472	25	115	10	5	26 (1-65)	3	24 (2-60)	22 (0-59)	1 (0-5)	2 (0-11)
Spain	1265	797	93	343	32	13	33 (2-88)	3	46 (3-111)	26 (0-73)	3 (0-16)	4 (0-17)
UK	1568	1042	292	161	73	11	11 (1-39)	1	14 (1-38)	5 (0-29)	4 (0-22)	2 (0-8)
The Netherlands	498	385	31	75	7	10	18 (1-55)	NA	NA	3 (0-14)	9 (0-35)	3 (0-22)
Greece	399	177	62	104	56	9	20 (1-67)	5	32 (0-96)	10 (0-43)	4 (0-19)	6 (0-28)
Germany	761	383	93	263	22	4	26 (2-68)	1	29 (3-76)	8 (0-44)	15 (0-60)	2 (0-7)
Sweden	2763	1220	407	1,057	79	11	11 (1-36)	NA	NA	3 (0-12)	4 (0-12)	4 (0-16)
Denmark	2158	1055	154	922	27	2	29 (2-78)	1	21 (3-51)	11 (0-30)	14 (0-55)	4 (0-11)
All	10 034	5531	1157	3040	306	8	24 (1-70)	2	30 (2-87)	13 (0-51)	7 (0-32)	3 (0-15)
Women												
Italy	596	403	10	160	23	23	11 (0-36)	16	8 (1-23)	9 (0-35)	1 (0-3)	1 (0-11)
Spain	630	311	23	260	36	50	9 (0-30)	37	7 (0-22)	7 (0-30)	1 (0-8)	0 (0-17)
UK	1233	780	142	203	108	16	7 (0-29)	6	7 (0-22)	5 (0-12)	1 (0-4)	2 (0-8)
The Netherlands	1444	986	67	321	70	20	11 (0-37)	11	9 (1-24)	6 (0-24)	1 (0-3)	4 (0-22)
Greece	271	87	30	91	63	36	6 (1-18)	34	5 (0-17)	3 (0-12)	1 (0-6)	1 (0-28)
Germany	318	112	23	172	11	5	10 (0-36)	3	7 (1-23)	7 (0-26)	2 (0-14)	1 (0-7)
Sweden	1866	641	187	950	88	18	7 (0-21)	NA	NA	3 (0-12)	1 (0-5)	2 (0-16)
Denmark	1202	456	60	658	28	2	14 (1-41)	6	9 (1-24)	6 (0-30)	3 (0-12)	2 (0-11)
All	7560	3776	542	2815	427	24	10 (0-33)	15	8 (0-23)	6 (0-27)	2 (0-7)	2 (0-7)

NA=Information on lifetime consumption not available in Bilthoven (The Netherlands), Naples (Italy), and Sweden.

*Mean and 5th-95th centile values calculated among drinkers only.

†Spirits, liquors, and fortified wine.

Table 2 | Number of events and hazard ratios for coronary heart disease and stroke by levels of baseline alcohol consumption (g/day)

Characteristic	Non-fatal		Fatal	
	Events	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Events	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Coronary heart disease				
Non-drinkers	1592	1.15 (1.03 to 1.28)	332	1.25 (1.01 to 1.53)
0.1-4.9	2797	1 (ref)	497	1 (ref)
5.0-14.9	2207	0.82 (0.75 to 0.90)	418	0.83 (0.70 to 0.98)
15.0-29.9	1324	0.78 (0.70 to 0.87)	198	0.65 (0.53 to 0.81)
30.0-59.9	1027	0.73 (0.65 to 0.83)	174	0.82 (0.65 to 1.03)
≥60.0	360	0.68 (0.57 to 0.81)	80	0.98 (0.70 to 1.37)
P value*		<0.001		0.002
12 g/day increase	Linear	0.94 (0.92 to 0.96)	Linear	0.92 (0.85 to 0.99)
			Quadratic	1.01 (1.00 to 1.02)
P value for trend†		<0.001	P value‡	0.003
Stroke				
Non-drinkers	924	1.26 (1.12 to 1.43)	187	1.41 (1.12 to 1.79)
0.1-4.9	1573	1 (ref)	214	1 (ref)
5.0-14.9	1508	1.03 (0.93 to 1.14)	167	1.04 (0.83 to 1.31)
15.0-29.9	872	1.08 (0.96 to 1.22)	88	1.07 (0.81 to 1.42)
30.0-59.9	704	1.10 (0.96 to 1.26)	61	1.20 (0.87 to 1.67)
≥60.0	274	1.31 (1.07 to 1.60)	16	1.14 (0.65 to 2.01)
P value*		0.109		0.863
12 g/day increase	Linear	1.04 (1.02 to 1.07)	Linear	1.05 (0.98 to 1.13)
P value for trend†		0.002		0.136

Table 4 | Number of events and hazard ratios for non-fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and non-fatal stroke by levels of types of alcohol consumption at baseline (g/day)

Characteristic	Wine intake		Beer intake	
	Events	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Events	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Non-fatal CHD				
Non-drinkers	2932	1.14 (1.03 to 1.25)	4021	1.08 (0.98 to 1.18)
0.1-2.9	2823	1 (ref)	2733	1 (ref)
3.0-9.9	1869	0.86 (0.77 to 0.93)	1611	1.07 (0.97 to 1.19)
10.0-19.9	649	0.86 (0.75 to 0.98)	473	0.98 (0.84 to 1.15)
20.0-39.9	686	0.76 (0.66 to 0.86)	317	0.86 (0.71 to 1.04)
≥40.0	348	0.73 (0.61 to 0.87)	152	0.79 (0.59 to 1.05)
P value*		<0.001		0.069
12 g/day increase		0.94 (0.91 to 0.97)		0.94 (0.89 to 0.99)
P value for trend†		<0.001		0.013
Non-fatal stroke				
Non-drinkers	1764	1.13 (1.01 to 1.25)	2094	1.14 (1.03 to 1.26)
0.1-2.9	1671	1 (ref)	1829	1 (ref)
3.0-9.9	1398	0.95 (0.85 to 1.05)	1167	1.21 (1.08 to 1.35)
10.0-19.9	391	1.00 (0.85 to 1.16)	369	1.16 (0.98 to 1.37)
20.0-39.9	436	1.01 (0.87 to 1.17)	248	1.31 (1.07 to 1.60)
≥40.0	195	1.05 (0.84 to 1.30)	148	1.40 (1.06 to 1.84)
P value*		0.762		0.002
12 g/day increase		1.03 (0.99 to 1.07)		1.07 (1.03 to 1.12)
P value for trend†		0.204		0.002

Conclusions

- « La consommation d'alcool est inversement associée au risque de cardiopathie non mortelle, mais positivement au risque d'accident vasculaire cérébral, soulignant les associations opposées de la consommation d'alcool avec différents types de MCV.
- Compte tenu des connaissances antérieures sur l'association positive de l'alcool à la mortalité toutes causes confondues et au risque de cancer, nos résultats renforcent les politiques visant à réduire la consommation d'alcool ».

Effet protecteur à petites doses






Figure 2. Risque d'un décès attribuable à l'alcool avant 75 ans en France en 2012, en fonction de la dose quotidienne d'alcool. D'après la réf. 3. Picturefalse* MERGEFORMAT

Les autres risques : les cancers



Les autres risques: maladies bénignes



Ne pas oublier

Causes externes

Accident véhicule à moteur

Empoisonnements

Chutes

Incendies

Noyades

Autres accidents

Suicides

Homicides

Revue systématique sur l'effet global (mortalité)



Original Investigation | Substance Use and Addiction

Association Between Daily Alcohol Intake and Risk of All-Cause Mortality A Systematic Review and Meta-analyses

Jinhui Zhao, PhD; Tim Stockwell, PhD; Tim Naimi, MD; Sam Churchill, MSc; James Clay, MSc; Adam Sherk, PhD

107 études sur la consommation d'alcool et la mortalité toutes causes confondues publiées de 1980 à juillet 2021.

- Modèles ajustés pour les effets de confusion potentiels de la variation d'échantillonnage, du biais des anciens buveurs et d'autres critères de qualité prédéfinis : aucune réduction significative du risque de mortalité toutes causes confondues chez les occasionnels (> 0 à $< 1,3$ g d'éthanol par jour ; risque relatif [RR], 0,96 ; IC à 95 %, 0,86-1,06 ; $P = 0,41$) ou buveurs à faible volume (1,3-24,0 g par jour ; RR, 0,93 ; $P = 0,07$) par rapport aux non-buveurs à vie.
- Modèle entièrement ajusté : augmentation non significative du risque de mortalité toutes causes confondues chez les buveurs qui buvaient de 25 à 44 g par jour (RR, 1,05 ; $P = 0,28$) et risque significativement accru pour les buveurs qui buvaient de 45 à 64 et 65 ou plus grammes par jour (RR, 1,19 et 1,35 ; $P < 0,001$). Il y avait des risques de mortalité significativement plus élevés chez les femmes buveuses que chez les femmes non-buveuses à vie (RR, 1,22 ; $P = 0,03$)

Table 2. Mean Relative Risk Estimates of All-Cause Mortality Due to Alcohol Consumption Up to 2022 According to 107 Studies With 724 Relative Risk Estimates

Drinking categories	Studies, No./risk estimates, No.	Unadjusted ^a		Partially adjusted ^b		Fully adjusted ^c	
		RR (95% CI)	P value	RR (95% CI)	P value	RR (95% CI)	P value
Reference group = lifetime nondrinker							
Abstainer	107/191	1 [Reference]		1 [Reference]		1 [Reference]	
Any drinker vs abstainer	107/724	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	.42	1.03 (0.89-1.19)	.65	1.11 (0.96-1.28)	.12
Former drinker vs abstainer	28/56	1.22 (1.11-1.33)	<.001	1.17 (1.08-1.26)	<.001	1.26 (1.12-1.42)	.0001
Active drinker vs abstainer, g/d	107/668	0.97 (0.94-1.00)	.02	0.93 (0.90-0.96)	<.001	1.02 (0.93-1.13)	.61
Occasional (<1.30)	24/57	0.92 (0.84-1.01)	.08	0.89 (0.83-0.95)	<.001	0.96 (0.86-1.06)	.41
Low-volume (1.30 to <25)	99/306	0.85 (0.81-0.88)	<.001	0.86 (0.83-0.88)	<.001	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	.08
Medium volume (25 to <45)	80/146	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	.55	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	.21	1.05 (0.96-1.14)	.28
High volume (45 to <65)	52/76	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	.09	1.11 (1.03-1.21)	.009	1.19 (1.07-1.32)	.001
Higher volume (≥65)	45/83	1.35 (1.26-1.46)	<.001	1.24 (1.16-1.32)	<.001	1.35 (1.23-1.47)	.0001
Reference group = occasional drinker							
Abstainer		1.09 (0.99-1.19)	.07	1.12 (1.05-1.20)	<.001	1.04 (0.94-1.16)	.45
Any drinker vs occasional drinker	107/724	1.15 (0.95-1.39)	.14	1.16 (0.99-1.36)	.08	1.16 (0.97-1.38)	.11
Former drinker vs abstainer	28/56	1.33 (1.18-1.50)	<.001	1.31 (1.19-1.46)	<.001	1.31 (1.13-1.52)	.0007
Active drinker vs abstainer, g/d	107/668	1.05 (0.96-1.16)	.29	1.04 (0.97-1.13)	.25	1.06 (0.92-1.23)	.41
Occasional (<1.30)	24/57	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	
Low-volume (1.30 to <25)	99/306	0.92 (0.84-1.02)	.12	0.97 (0.90-1.04)	.36	0.97 (0.85-1.11)	.65
Medium volume (25 to <45)	80/146	1.11 (0.99-1.24)	.07	1.09 (1.00-1.19)	.047	1.09 (0.96-1.25)	.19
High volume (45 to <65)	52/76	1.16 (1.03-1.31)	.02	1.25 (1.12-1.39)	<.001	1.24 (1.07-1.44)	.004
Higher volume (≥65)	45/83	1.47 (1.30-1.65)	<.001	1.39 (1.27-1.53)	<.001	1.41 (1.23-1.61)	.0001

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; RR, relative risk.

^a Natural log of the RR estimated using the rate ratio or hazard ratio without weighting and adjusting for between-study variation or covariates.

^b Weighted estimates adjusted for between-study variation.

^c Weighted estimates adjusted for between-study variation, abstainer biases, median age, sex, country in which a study was conducted, study publication year, follow-up years of study samples, drinking pattern, and whether studies controlled for heart problem, social status, race, diet, exercise, body mass index, and smoking status.

Table 4. Mean RRs of All-Cause Mortality Due to Alcohol Consumption by Sex (Men or Women) Up to 2022

	Studies, No./risk estimates, No.	Unadjusted ^a		Partially adjusted ^b		Fully adjusted ^c	
Drinking categories by median age		RR (95% CI)	P value	RR (95% CI)	P value	RR (95% CI)	P value
Men							
Abstainer	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA
Any drinker vs abstainer	73/343	1.05 (0.88-1.24)	.52	1.05 (0.89-1.22)	.49	1.12 (0.95-1.34)	.14
Former drinker vs abstainer	20/24	1.24 (1.08-1.42)	<.001	1.29 (1.20-1.39)	<.001	1.39 (1.21-1.58)	<.001
Active drinker vs abstainer, g/d	73/319	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	.09	0.96 (0.92-1.00)	.05	1.05 (0.96-1.15)	.27
Occasional (<1.30)	13/15	0.95 (0.80-1.13)	.58	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	.07	1.00 (0.91-1.09)	.97
Low-volume (1.30 to <25)	66/141	0.84 (0.80-0.89)	<.001	0.87 (0.84-0.91)	<.001	0.94 (0.88-1.01)	.07
Medium volume (25 to <45)	54/70	0.97 (0.89-1.05)	.43	0.94 (0.90-0.98)	.008	1.01 (0.93-1.10)	.81
High volume (45 to <65)	37/41	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	.87	1.07 (1.01-1.12)	.01	1.15 (1.03-1.28)	.01
Higher volume (≥65)	36/52	1.35 (1.23-1.48)	<.001	1.25 (1.16-1.32)	<.001	1.34 (1.23-1.47)	<.001
Women							
Abstainer	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA
Any drinker vs abstainer	48/226	1.12 (0.88-1.44)	.28	1.03 (0.85-1.26)	.69	1.22 (1.02-1.46)	.04
Former drinker vs abstainer	16/22	1.16 (0.98-1.37)	.08	1.09 (1.03-1.14)	.001	1.27 (1.13-1.43)	<.001
Active drinker vs abstainer, g/d	47/204	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	.64	0.88 (0.84-0.92)	<.001	1.03 (0.92-1.15)	.65
Occasional (<1.30)	15/25	0.87 (0.74-1.01)	.08	0.83 (0.78-0.88)	<.001	0.99 (0.87-1.11)	.82
Low-volume (1.30 to <25)	45/106	0.87 (0.81-0.94)	<.001	0.84 (0.80-0.89)	<.001	0.99 (0.90-1.10)	.90
Medium volume (25 to <45)	37/42	1.16 (1.03-1.31)	.01	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	.44	1.21 (1.08-1.36)	.001
High volume (45 to <65)	17/19	1.12 (0.94-1.34)	.21	1.13 (0.95-1.35)	.15	1.34 (1.11-1.63)	.003
Higher volume (≥65)	11/12	1.77 (1.41-2.21)	<.001	1.37 (1.28-1.47)	<.001	1.61 (1.44-1.80)	<.001

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; RR, relative risk.

^a Natural log of the RR estimated using the rate ratio or hazard ratio without weighting and adjusting for between-study variation or covariates.

^b Weighted estimates adjusted for between-study variation.

^c Weighted estimates adjusted for between-study variation, abstainer biases, median age, country in which a study was conducted, study publication year, follow-up years, drinking pattern, and whether studies controlled for heart problem, social status, race, diet, exercise, body mass index, and smoking status.

Exercice physique et sédentarité

DÉFINITION DU MET

Le *metabolic equivalent task* [MET] ou équivalent métabolique est une unité qui indexe la dépense énergétique lors de la tâche considérée sur la dépense énergétique de repos. La valeur 1 MET chiffre la dépense énergétique de repos et équivaut à une consommation de l'ordre de 3,5 mL O₂/min/kg. 7,5 MET.h/sem correspond à 150 min/sem d'activité physique d'intensité modérée et 15 MET.h/sem équivaut à 300 min/sem d'activité physique d'intensité modérée.

Marcher réduit le risque coronarien


Eur J Epidemiol (2009) 24:181–192
DOI 10.1007/s10654-009-9328-9

CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Quantifying the dose-response of walking in reducing coronary heart disease risk: meta-analysis

Henry Zheng · Nicola Orsini · Janaki Amin ·
Alicja Wolk · Van Thi Thuy Nguyen · Fred Ehrlich

Fig. 6 Summary of study-specific trends (8 MET-h/week is equivalent to 30 min of normal walking a day for 5 days a week) examining the association between walking and risk of coronary heart disease. *Squares* indicate study-specific trend estimates (size of the squares reflects the inverse of the variance); *horizontal lines* indicate 95% confidence intervals; *diamond* indicates the summary relative risk estimate with 95% confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity: $Q = 5.81$, $P = 0.56$; $I^2 = 0\%$






Fig. 2 Dose-response relationship between walking intensity (MET-hours/week) and risk of coronary heart disease. *Dotted lines* represent 95% confidence limits for the linear trend




Fig. 4 Dose-response relationship between walking time (h/week) and risk of coronary heart disease. *Dotted lines* represent 95% confidence limits for the linear trend

L'effet est surtout marqué pour les niveaux les plus faibles




Figure 1. Relation non linéaire entre la dépense énergétique hebdomadaire liée aux activités physiques de loisir et le risque de mortalité générale (toutes causes confondues). Les dépenses énergétiques sont exprimées sous la forme de multiples des recommandations, de la valeur 0 pour les personnes qui ne les atteignent pas à des multiples de 1 pour ceux qui excèdent ces recommandations. On remarquera que le bénéfice santé le plus important est observé pour les très faibles niveaux d'activité physique. En d'autres termes, le degré de diminution du risque est beaucoup plus important pour les faibles niveaux de pratique que pour les niveaux de pratique supérieurs : passer de l'état d'inactivité totale (0) à l'atteinte des recommandations en activité physique (1) a plus d'effets relatifs que de passer de 4 à 5-6 fois les recommandations (d'après la réf. 6).

Le sport

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Outcomes of Cardiac Screening in Adolescent Soccer Players

Aneil Malhotra, M.B., B.Chir., Ph.D., Harshil Dhutia, M.B., B.S.,
Gherardo Finocchiaro, M.D., Sabiha Gati, M.B., B.S., Ph.D.,
Ian Beasley, M.B., B.S., Paul Clift, M.B., B.S., M.D., Charlotte Cowie, M.B., B.S.,
Antoinette Kenny, M.B., B.S., M.D., Jamil Mayet, M.B., B.S., M.D.,
David Oxborough, Ph.D., Kiran Patel, M.B., B.Chir., Ph.D.,
Guido Pieves, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Dhrubo Rakhit, M.B., B.S., Ph.D.,
David Ramsdale, M.B., B.S., M.D., Leonard Shapiro, M.B., B.S., M.D.,
John Somauroo, M.B., B.S., Graham Stuart, M.B., Ch.B.,
Amanda Varnava, M.B., Chir.B., M.D., John Walsh, M.B., B.S., D.M.,
Zaheer Yousef, M.B., B.S., M.D., Maite Tome, M.D., Ph.D.,
Michael Papadakis, M.B., B.S., M.D., and Sanjay Sharma, M.B., Ch.B., M.D.

N Engl J Med 2018;379:524-34.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoal714719




Figure 1. Outcomes in the Football Association Cardiac Screening Program.




Figure 2. Causes of Death among the 23 Screened Adolescent Soccer Players Who Died.